arXiv:1301.3550v1 [math.QA] 16 Jan 2013

Braid group action and twisted quantum affine algebras

Naihuan Jing and Honglian Zhang⋆

Abstract. The Drinfled realization of quantum affine algebras has been tremendously useful since its discovery. Combining techniques of Beck and Damiani with our previous approach, we give a complete proof of the Drinfeld realization for the twisted quantum affine algebras using Lusztig's twisted braid group action.

1. Introduction

In studying finite dimensional representations of Yangian algebras and quantum affine algebras, Drinfeld stated a new realization of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum enveloping algebras of the affine types. Drinfeld realization is the quantum analog of the loop algebra realization of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras, and has played a pivotal role in later developments of quantum affine algebras and quantum conformal theory. For example the basic representations of quantum affine algebras were constructed based on Drinfeld realization [**FJ, J1**] and the quantum Knizhnik-Zamoldchikov equation [**FR**] was also formulated using this realization.

The first proof of the Drinfeld realization for the untwisted types was given by Beck [**B**] using Lusztig's braid group actions and Damiani's earlier computation for $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ [**Da1**] (see also [**LSS**]). By directly quantizing the classical isomorphism of the Kac realization to the affine Lie algebras, the first author also gave an elementary proof [**J2**] of the Drinfeld automorphism using q-brackets starting from Drinfeld quantum loop algebras. In this elementary approach the Serre relations were verified for the first time in details for type A and several other types including $D_4^{(3)}$. That paper also provided a general strategy and algorithm to check the Serre relations for other untwisted types.

The proof of Drinfeld realizations of the twisted types has taken much longer time. In [**ZJ**] and [**JZ**] we gave an elementary proof of the twisted Drinfeld realization starting from Drinfeld quantum loop algebras. In particular in [**JZ**] we have shown that twisted quantum affine algebras obey some simplified Serre relations of lower degrees in certain types as in the classical cases, and from which twisted Serre relations are consequences. Very recently at the time of writing this paper Damiani [**Da3**] gave a case-by-case proof of the twisted Drinfeld realization in the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 17B67.

Key words and phrases. Twisted quantum affine algebra, braid group, Drinfeld realization, quantum Serre relation.

⋆H.Z., Corresponding Author.

same direction as [**ZJ, JZ**]. In particular Damiani proved that there is a homomorphism from the Drinfeld's quantum loop algebra to the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum enveloping algebra.

The purpose of this work is to give a conceptual proof of twisted Drinfeld realizations using braid group actions starting from Drinfeld-Jimbo definition. Braid group actions have been very useful in Lusztig's construction of canonical bases [**L1, BN**] and are particularly useful in Beck's proof of untwisted cases. We use the extended braid group action to define root vectors in the twisted case as in the untwisted cases. Some of the root vector computations can be done in a similar way as in [**Da2**]. We also give a complete proof of all Serre relations for the first time for both untwisted and twisted cases using q-bracket techniques [**J1**]. One notable feature of our work is that we directly prove the realization using the braid group action and fill up unchecked relations for untwisted cases as well.

It has been observed in [**Da3**] that the usual argument of identifying of two quantum algebras by passing to $q = 1$ may not work in general, and [**Da3**] asserts that in strict sense all previous works on Drinfeld realization may be viewed as establishing a homomorphism from one form of the quantum affine algebra to the other form. The second goal of our paper is to settle this long-standing problem and show that the Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra is indeed isomorphic to the Drinfeld algebra of the quantum affine algebra. We achieve this by combining our previous work identifying of the Drinfled-Jimbo quantum affine algebra inside the Drinfeld realization [**ZJ, JZ**] and the explicit knowledge of the q-bracket computations developed in [**J2, ZJ**].

The paper is organized in the following manner. In Section two we first recall the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum affine enveloping algebras and define Lusztig's braid group action as well as the extended braid group action, and then use this to define quantum root vectors. Section three shows how to construct the quantum affine algebra $U_q(A_1^{(1)})$ (or $U_q(A_2^{(2)})$) inside the twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(X^{(r)})$ (or $U_q(A_{2n}^{(2)})$). In Section four we check all Drinfeld relations, in particular all Serre relations for twisted quantum affine algebras. Finally we prove the isomorphism of two forms of quantum affine algebras using our previous work on Drinfeld realization and q-bracket techniques.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1. Finite order automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this paragraph some basic notations of Kac-Moody Lie algebras are recalled [**K**] . Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and let σ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ of order r. Then σ induces an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the same order. Fix a primitive rth root of unity $\omega = exp\frac{2\pi i}{r}$. Since σ is diagonalizable, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_j$ is the eigenspace relative to ω^j. Clearly, the decomposition is a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}-$gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $A = (A_{ij}), (i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N\})$ be one of simply laced Cartan matrices It is well-known that the Dynkin diagram $D(A)$ has a diagram automorphism σ of order $r = 2$ or 3. Explicitly A is one of the following types: $A_N\,(N \geqslant 2)$,

$D_N\,(N>4)$, E_6 and D_4 with the canonical action of σ:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_N &: \sigma(i)=N+1-i,\\
D_N &: \sigma(i)=i, 1\leqslant i\leqslant N-2;\ \sigma(N-1)=N,\\
E_6 &: \sigma(i)=6-i, 1\leqslant i\leqslant 5;\ \sigma(6)=6,\\
D_4 &: \sigma(1,2,3,4)=(3,2,4,1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $I=\{1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,n\}$ be the set of $\sigma-$orbits on $\{1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,N\}$, where we use representatives to denote the orbits. For example $\{i,N+1-i\}$ is simply denoted by i in type A. Consequently the nodes of the Dynkin diagram $\mathfrak{g}_0$ are indexed by I.

2.2. Twisted affine Lie algebras. For a non trivial automorphism σ of the Dynkin diagram, the twisted affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ is the central extension of the twisted loop algebra:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma=\Big(\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_{[j]}\otimes\mathbb{C}t^j\Big)\oplus\mathbb{C}c\oplus\mathbb{C}d,$$

where c is the central element and $ad(d)=t\frac{d}{dt}$. Denote by $\hat{I}=I\bigcup\{0\}$ the node set of Dynkin diagram of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^\sigma=\mathfrak{h}_{[0]}\oplus\mathbb{C}c\oplus\mathbb{C}d$ be the Heisenberg subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$.

Let us use $A^\sigma=(a_{ij})\,(i,\,j\in\hat{I})$ to denote the Cartan matrix of the twisted affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ of type $X_N^{(r)}$. The Cartan matrix A^σ is symmetrizable, that is, there exists a diagonal matrix $D=diag(d_i|i\in\hat{I})$ such that DA^σ is symmetric. Let $\alpha_i\,(i\in\hat{I})\subset\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^{\sigma*}$ be the simple roots and let $\alpha_i^\vee\,(i\in\hat{I})\subset\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^\sigma$ be the simple coroots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ such that $\langle\alpha_j,\alpha_i^\vee\rangle=a_{ij}$. Let Q be the affine root lattice defined by:

$$Q=\bigoplus_{i\in\hat{I}}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i.$$

Subsequently Q_0 will denote the finite root lattice of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Indeed, $Q_0\subseteq Q=Q_0\oplus\mathbb{Z}\alpha_0$. Let $Q^+=\bigoplus_{i\in\hat{I}}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\alpha_i$, then $Q_0^+=Q_0\cap Q^+$.

Introduce the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ on Q determined by $(\alpha_i,\,\alpha_j)=d_ia_{ij}$. Let $\delta=\sum_{i\in\hat{I}}r_i\alpha_i\in Q^+$ be the canonical imaginary root of minimal height such that $(\delta,\,\delta)=0$ and $(\delta,\,\alpha_i)=0,\forall i\in\hat{I}$. Here the coefficients r_i are unique such that r_0 is always 1, and we have chosen the labels of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ different from [**K**].

Let $P^\vee=\{\lambda^\vee\in\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^\sigma|\langle\alpha_i,\lambda^\vee\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ be the coweight lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$, and define the fundamental coweights $\omega_i^\vee\in P^\vee$ such that $\langle\alpha_i,\,\omega_j^\vee\rangle=\delta_{ij},\langle c,\omega_j^\vee\rangle=0,\forall i\in\hat{I}$. So $d=\omega_0^\vee$. Additionally, we are going to introduce another important sublattice P defined by $P=\oplus_{i\in\hat{I}}\mathbb{Z}\omega_i$, where $\omega_i=p_i\omega_i^\vee$ for $i\in I$ and $\omega_0=\omega_i^\vee=d$, and

$$p_i=\begin{cases}r, & \text{if}\quad \sigma(i)=i;\\ 1, & \quad\text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

Let us denote by $P_0^\vee$ and P_0 the finite coweight and weight lattice of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ respectively. Then $P_0^\vee\subseteq P^\vee=P_0^\vee\oplus\mathbb{Z}\omega_0^\vee$ and $P_0^\vee\subseteq P^\vee=P_0^\vee\oplus\mathbb{Z}\omega_0$.

Recall that the (affine) Weyl group W is generated by $\{s_i|i\in\hat{I}\}\leq Aut(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^{\sigma*})$, where $s_i(\lambda)=\lambda-\langle\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee\rangle\alpha_i$, thus $s_i(\alpha_j)=\alpha_j-a_{ij}\alpha_i$. The Weyl group W acts on

$P^\vee$ by $s_i(\lambda^\vee) = \lambda^\vee - \langle \alpha_i, \lambda^\vee \rangle \alpha_i^\vee$. For any $w \in W$, if $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_l}$ is a reduced expression of w, then we define the length $l(w) = l$. Let W_0 be the subgroup generated by $\{s_i | i \in I\}$, thus W_0 is the finite Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Let $Aut(\Gamma)$ be the group of automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram associated with $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$. Then $Aut(\Gamma)$ acts on W by $\tau s_i \tau^{-1} = s_{\tau(i)}$, $\forall i \in \hat{I}$, $\forall \tau \in Aut(\Gamma)$. We denote $\mathcal{T} = Aut(\Gamma) \cap (W_0 \ltimes P^\vee)$. We further introduce the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{W} = \mathcal{T} \ltimes W$, which can be written as $W_0 \ltimes P$ for twisted cases. The length function ℓ is extended to $\tilde{W}$ by $\ell(\tau w) = \ell(w)$, for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, $w \in W$.

2.3. Twisted quantum affine algebras. In this paragraph let us review the definition of twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$. Set $q_i = q^{d_i}$, $i \in \hat{I}$. Introduce the $q-$integer by:

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \ [n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [k_i].$$

Definition 2.1. The twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ is an associative $\mathbb{C}(q)-$algebra generated by $\{E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\}$ for $i \in \hat{I}$, satisfying the following relations:

$$(R1) \qquad \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is central and } \gamma = K_\delta$$

$$(R2) \qquad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1, \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \forall\, i, j \in \hat{I},$$

$$(R3) \qquad K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}} E_j, \quad K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}} F_j, \forall\, i, j \in \hat{I},$$

$$(R4) \qquad [\, E_i, F_j \,] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \forall\, i, j \in \hat{I},$$

$$(R5) \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} E_j E_i^s = 0, \forall\, i \neq j,$$

$$(R6) \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i F_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} F_j F_i^s = 0, \forall\, i \neq j.$$

Remark 2.2. (1). There exists a unique Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ with the comultiplication Δ, counit ε and antipode S defined by ($i \in \hat{I}$):

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes F_i, \quad \Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i,$$
$$\varepsilon(E_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(F_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K_i) = 1,$$
$$S(E_i) = -E_i K_i, \quad S(F_i) = -K_i^{-1} F_i, \quad S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}.$$

(2). Let U_q^+ (respectively, U_q^-) be the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ generated by the elements E_i (respectively, F_i) for $i \in \hat{I}$, and let U_q^0 be the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ generated by K_i and $\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$. The twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ has the triangular decomposition:

$$U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma) \cong U_q^- \otimes U_q^0 \otimes U_q^+.$$

(3). When $a_{ij} = -2$, the quantum Serre relation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_j E_i^3 - [3]_i E_i E_j E_i^2 + [3]_i E_i^2 E_j E_i - E_i^3 E_j \\ & = [\, E_j E_i^2 - [2]_{2i} E_i E_j E_i + E_i^2 E_j, \, E_i \,] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $a_{ij}=-3$, we have the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned}&E_jE_i^4-[4]_iE_iE_jE_i^3+\frac{[4]_i[3]_i}{[2]_i}E_i^2E_jE_i^2-[4]_iE_i^3E_jE_i+E_i^4E_j\\&=AE_i^2-[2]_iE_iAE_i+E_i^2A\end{aligned}$$

where $A=E_jE_i^2-[2]_iE_iE_jE_i+E_i^2E_j$.

From the above identities, it is not hard to see that the higher degree Serre relations can be derived from the lower degree ones. Also it is expected that the non simple-laced Dynkin diagrams can be obtained from simple-laced one by the action of σ.

The following proposition can be checked easily.

Proposition 2.3. *There exist an antiautomorphism Φ (over $\mathbb{C}(q)$) and an automorphism Ω (over $\mathbb{C}$) of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi(E_i)=F_i,\quad \Phi(F_i)=E_i,\quad \Phi(K_i)=K_i^{-1},\quad \Phi(\gamma^{\pm\frac12})=\gamma^{\mp\frac12},\Phi(q)=q^{-1}\\&\Omega(E_i)=E_i,\quad \Omega(F_i)=F_i,\quad \Omega(K_i)=K_i^{-1},\quad \Omega(\gamma^{\pm\frac12})=\gamma^{\mp\frac12},\Omega(q)=q^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

We recall Lusztig's braid group associated to W, which acts as an automorphism group of the twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ ([**L1**]). For simplicity, we denote by $T_i=T_{s_i}$, $i\in\hat{I}$. The actions of T_i and T_i^{-1} on Chevalley generators are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&T_i(E_i)=-F_iK_i,\quad T_i(F_i)=-K_i^{-1}E_i,\\&T_i(E_j)=\sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}}(-1)^{s-a_{ij}}q_i^{-s}E_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)}E_jE_i^{(s)},\ \forall\, i\neq j\in\hat{I},\\&T_i(F_j)=\sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}}(-1)^{s-a_{ij}}q_i^{s}F_i^{(s)}F_jF_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)},\ \forall\, i\neq j\in\hat{I}\\&T_i^{-1}(E_i)=-K_i^{-1}F_i,\quad T_i^{-1}(F_i)=-E_iK_i,\\&T_i^{-1}(E_j)=\sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}}(-1)^{s-a_{ij}}q_i^{-s}E_i^{(s)}E_jE_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)},\ \forall\, i\neq j\in\hat{I},\\&T_i^{-1}(F_j)=\sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}}(-1)^{s-a_{ij}}q_i^{s}F_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)}F_jF_i^{(s)},\ \forall\, i\neq j\in\hat{I}\end{aligned}$$

where $E_i^{(s)}=\frac{E_i^s}{[s]_i!}$ and $F_i^{(s)}=\frac{F_i^s}{[s]_i!}$.

We extent the braid group action to the extended Weyl group $\tilde{W}$ by defining T_τ as:

$$T_\tau(E_i)=E_{\tau(i)},\,T_\tau(F_i)=F_{\tau(i)},\,T_\tau(K_i)=K_{\tau(i)}.$$

Remark 2.4. The braid group action T_i commutes with Φ, that is $T_i\Phi=\Phi T_i$. Furthermore, one has $\Omega T_i=T_i^{-1}\Omega$.

Note that $\tilde{W}=W_0\ltimes P$, some properties about T_{ω_i} will be discussed which are almost the same to non twisted cases, where $\omega_i=p_i\omega_i^\vee$.

3. Vertex subalgebras $U_q^{(i)}$

There are three different lengths of real roots for the type of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, which requires a different treatment from other twisted types. For each $i \in I$ we construct a copy of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ inside $U_q((X_N^{(r)})$ for $X_n \neq A_{2n}$. For the case of $(A_{2n}^{(2)}, n)$ we will construct a subalgebra isomorphic to $U_q(A_n^{(2)})$.

3.1. Root system. The root systems Δ of twisted affine algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ is given by $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup -\Delta^+$ and $\Delta^+ = \Delta_> \cup \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_<$, where $\Delta_>$ Δ_0 and $\Delta_<$ are list as follows (see [**K**]):

$$\Delta_0 = \{k\delta | k > 0\} \times I,$$

For the type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$

$$\Delta_> = \{k\delta + \alpha | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+, k \geq 0\} \cup \{(2k+1)\delta + 2\alpha | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+, (\alpha,\, \alpha) = 2,\, k \geq 0\},$$

$$\Delta_< = \{k\delta - \alpha | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+, k > 0\} \cup \{(2k+1)\delta - 2\alpha | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+, (\alpha,\, \alpha) = 2,\, k > 0\},$$

for other types

$$\Delta_> = \{kd_\alpha\delta + \alpha | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+,\, k \geq 0\},$$

$$\Delta_< = \{kd_\alpha\delta - \alpha | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+,\, k > 0\},$$

where $\dot{\Delta}^+$ is the positive roots system of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $d_\alpha = \frac{(\alpha,\alpha)}{2}$. Here we call Δ^+ the set of positive roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$.

3.2. Quantum root vectors. In order define the quantum root vectors, we review some notations from Beck-Nakajima [**BN**] (see also [**Da2**]). For $\omega_i \in P, \forall i \in I$, we choose $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\omega_i\tau_i^{-1} \in W$. Choose a reduced expression of $\omega_i\tau_i^{-1}$ for each $i \in I$. We fix a reduced expression of $\omega_n\omega_{n-1}\cdots\omega_1$ as follows: $\omega_n\omega_{n-1}\cdots\omega_1 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_m}\tau$, where $\tau = \tau_n \cdots \tau_1$.

We define a doubly infinite sequence

$$\mathbf{h} = (\cdots, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, \cdots),$$

by setting $i_{l+m} = \tau(i_l)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then we have

$$\Delta_> = \{\alpha_{i_0},\, s_{i_0}(\alpha_{i_{-1}}),\, s_{i_0}s_{i_{-1}}(\alpha_{i_{-2}}),\, \cdots\},$$

$$\Delta_< = \{\alpha_{i_1},\, s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}),\, s_{i_1}s_{i_2}(\alpha_{i_3}),\, \cdots\}.$$

Set

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} s_{i_0}s_{i_{-1}}\cdots s_{i_{k+1}}(\alpha_{i_k}), & \text{if} \quad k \leq 0; \\ s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}), & \text{if} \quad k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Define a total order on Δ^+ by setting

$$\beta_0 < \beta_{-1} < \beta_{-2} < \cdots < \delta^{(1)} < \cdots < \delta^{(n)} < 2\delta^{(1)} < \cdots < \beta_2 < \beta_1,$$

where $k\delta^{(i)}$ denotes $(k\delta, i) \in \Delta_0$.

The root vectors for each element of $\Delta_> \cup \Delta_<$ can be defined as follows:

$$E_{\beta_k} = \begin{cases} T_{i_0}^{-1}T_{i_{-1}}^{-1}\cdots T_{i_{k+1}}^{-1}(E_{\alpha_{i_k}}), & \text{if} \quad k \leq 0; \\ T_{i_1}T_{i_2}\cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{\alpha_{i_k}}), & \text{if} \quad k > 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from [**L1**] that the elements $E_{\beta_k} \in U_q^+$.

Remark 3.1. For a positive root $\alpha = \omega_1(\alpha_i)$ in the root system $\Delta_> \cup \Delta_<$ of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$, there exists another presentation $\alpha = \omega_2(\alpha_j)$ where ω_i, ω_2 are in the Weyl group W and α_i, α_j are simple roots. Then the quantum root vector E_α can be defined by two ways:

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha &\doteq T_{\omega_1}(E_i) \\ E_\alpha &\doteq T_{\omega_2}(E_j) \end{aligned}$$

Actually, the two definitions are same, because there exists $\omega \in W_0$ such that $\alpha_j = \omega(\alpha_i)$, which means that $\omega_1 = \omega_2\omega$ and $l(\omega_1) = l(\omega_2) + l(\omega)$, then we have

$$T_{\omega_1}(E_i) = T_{\omega_2\omega}(E_i) = T_{\omega_2}T_\omega(E_i) = T_{\omega_2}(E_j).$$

Furthermore, if $\beta_k = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in I$, then $E_{\beta_k} = E_{\alpha_i}$. As usual, define $F_\beta = \Phi(E_\beta)$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_> \cup \Delta_<$.

Now we introduce the root vectors of Drinfeld generators [**BCP, Da2**].

$$\begin{aligned} E_{kp_i\delta+\alpha_i} &= T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i), \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0, \\ E_{kp_i\delta-\alpha_i} &= T_{\omega_i}^{k}T_i^{-1}(E_i), \quad \text{for} \quad k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the definitions are not so different from those of non twisted cases up to some slight adjustments because of the difference between their root systems.

3.3. Vertex subalgebra $U_q^{(i)}$. Let subalgebra $U_q^{(i)}$ of twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ be generated by E_i, $T_{\omega_i}T_i^{-1}(E_i)$, F_i, $T_{\omega_i}T_i^{-1}(F_i)$, $K_i^{\pm 1}$, $T_{\omega_i}T_i^{-1}(K_i^{\pm 1})$ and $\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ for $i \in I$.

It is clear that the vertex subalgebra $U_q^{(i)}$ is stable under the actions of Φ, T_i, and T_{ω_i}. Additionally, $U_q^{(i)}$ is pointwise fixed by T_{ω_j} for $j \neq i$.

We list the following result which is already proved in [**L2**] (also see [**B**],[**Da2**]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $i \neq j \in I$, one has that*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \qquad \ell(\omega_i s_i \omega_i) = 2\ell(\omega_i) - 1, \\ &(2) \qquad T_i^{-1}T_{\omega_i}T_i^{-1} = T_{\omega_i}^{-1}\prod_{j\neq i} T_{\omega_j}^{-\frac{p_i a_{ij}}{p_j}}, \\ &(3) \qquad T_{\omega_i}T_i^{-1}(E_j) = T_{\omega_j}^{-\frac{p_i a_{ij}}{p_j}} T_i(E_j). \end{aligned}$$

The following statements are based on the construction of [**B**], also see [**Da2**].

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \qquad [\, T_{\omega_i}T_i^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i\,] = 0, \\ &(2) \qquad E_iT_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) - q_i^2T_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i = 0, \\ &(3) \qquad T_{\omega_i}(K_i^{\pm 1}) = \gamma K_i^{\pm 1}, \\ &(4) \qquad K_iT_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) = q_i^2T_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)K_i. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4. *For $i \in I$, there exists an algebra isomorphism $\varphi_i : U_q(A_1^{(1)}) \to U_q^{(i)}$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(E_1) &= E_i, & \varphi_i(E_0) &= T_{\omega_i} T_i^{-1}(E_i), \\ \varphi_i(F_1) &= F_i, & \varphi_i(F_0) &= T_{\omega_i} T_i^{-1}(F_i), \\ \varphi_i(K_1^{\pm 1}) &= K_i^{\pm 1}, & \varphi_i(K_0^{\pm 1}) &= T_{\omega_i} T_i^{-1}(K_i^{\pm 1}), \\ \varphi_i(q) &= q_i, & \varphi_i(\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}) &= \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. Relations of imaginary root vectors. Now we define the positive imaginary root vectors. For $k > 0$ and $i \in I$ let

$$\bar{\psi}_i(p_i k) = E_{kp_i\delta - \alpha_i} E_i - q_i^{-2} E_i E_{kp_i\delta - \alpha_i},$$

then we use $\bar{\varphi}_i(p_i k)$ to denote $\Phi(\bar{\psi}_i(p_i k))$.

Define the elements $E_{i\, kp_i\delta} \in U_q^+$ by the functional equation

$$exp\big((q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{k>0} E_{i,\, kp_i\delta} u^k\big) = 1 + (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{k>0} \bar{\psi}_i(p_i k) u^k.$$

Similarly, us introduce $F_{i,\, kp_i\delta} = \Phi(E_{i,\, kp_i\delta})$ for $k > 0$. Then we have the following lemma

Lemma 3.5. *For $i,\, j \in I, k,\, l \geq 0$, we have*

$$[\, E_{i,\, kp_i\delta},\, E_{j,\, lp_j\delta}\,] = 0.$$

Define a map $o : I \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that $o(i)o(j) = -1$ for $a_{ij} < 0$. For $k > 0$ and $i \in I$, let

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(p_i k) &= o(i)^k \gamma^{-\frac{k}{2}} E_{i,\, kp_i\delta}, \\ \psi_i(p_i k) &= o(i)^k (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \gamma^{-\frac{k}{2}} K_i \bar{\psi}_i(p_i k). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can define $a_i(-p_i k)$ and $\varphi_i(-p_i k)$ for $k > 0$.

The following was given in [**Da2**], which is an application of Beck's work ([**B**]).

Proposition 3.6. *For $i,\, j \in I$, for $k > 0$, $l > 0$, the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \quad [\, \psi_i(p_i k),\, \psi_i(p_i l)\,] = 0 = [\, a_i(p_i k),\, a_i(p_i l)\,], \\ &(2) \quad T_{\omega_j}\big(\psi_i(p_i k)\big) = \psi_i(p_i k), \quad T_{\omega_j}\big(a_i(p_i k)\big) = a_i(p_i k), \\ &(3) \quad [\, a_i(p_i k),\, a_i(-p_i l)\,] = \delta_{k,l} \frac{[2r]_i}{k} \frac{\gamma^k - \gamma^{-k}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \\ &(4) \quad [\, a_i(p_i k),\, T_{\omega_i}^{-l}(E_i)\,] = \frac{[2r]_i}{k} T_{\omega_i}^{-(k+l)}(E_i). \end{aligned}$$

3.5. Relations between $U_q^{(i)}$ and $U_q^{(j)}$. We recall the commutation relations among the root vectors from [**Da2**] and remark that the argument also works in the case of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$.

Lemma 3.7. *([**L2**], [**B**], [**Da2**]) One has that for $i,\, j \in I$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \qquad T_{\omega_i} T_{\omega_j} = T_{\omega_j} T_{\omega_i}, \\ &(2) \qquad T_{\omega_j}(E_i) = E_i, \quad \textit{and} \quad T_{\omega_i} T_i = T_i T_{\omega_j} \ \textit{for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

We also let $\hat{T}_{\omega_i} = o(i)T_{\omega_i}$. The following is immediate.

Lemma 3.8. *If $a_{ij} = 0$ for $i, j \in I$, then* $[\, U_q^{(i)},\, U_q^{(j)} \,] = 0$.

Lemma 3.9. *For $i \neq j \in I$, and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$[\, \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j) \,] = 0.$$

If $i \neq j \in I$ such that $a_{ij}a_{ji} = 1$, it is easy to see that $\sigma(i) \neq i$ and $\sigma(j) \neq j$ and $d_i = p_i = 1, d_j = p_j = 1$. Thus one has the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *If $i \neq j \in I$ such that $a_{ij}a_{ji} = 1$, then for $k > 1$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has following relations.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1) \quad [\, \psi_i(1),\, E_j \,] = -\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-1}(E_j),\\
&(2) \quad [\, \psi_i(k),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j) \,]\\
&\qquad\qquad = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Big(q\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-(l+1)}(E_j)\psi_i(k-1) - q^{-1}\psi_i(k-1)\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-(l+1)}(E_j) \Big),\\
&(3) \quad [\, \psi_i(1),\, F_j \,] = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{T}_{\omega_j}(F_j),\\
&(4) \quad [\, \psi_i(k),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{l}(F_j) \,] = \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(q^{-1}\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{(l+1)}(F_j)\psi_i(k-1) - q\psi_i(k-1)\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{(l+1)}(F_j) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

If $i \neq j \in I$ such that $a_{ij} = -r$ and $a_{ji} = -1$, it is easy to see that $\sigma(i) \neq i$ and $\sigma(j) = j$ and $p_i = d_i = 1, d_j = r$. Then the next result follows.

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose $a_{ij} = -r$ and $a_{ji} = -1$ for $i \neq j \in I$. Then for $k > r$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1) \quad [\, \psi_i(1),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j) \,] = -\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} [a_{ij}]_i \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-(l+1)}(E_j),\\
&(2) \quad [\, \psi_i(p_ik),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j) \,]\\
&\qquad = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Big(q_i^{-a_{ij}} \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-(l+1)}(E_j)\psi_i(p_ik-r) - q_i^{a_{ij}}\psi_i(p_ik-r)\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-(l+1)}(E_j) \Big),\\
&(3) \quad [\, \psi_i(1),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{l}(F_j) \,] = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} [a_{ij}]_i \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{l+1}(F_j),\\
&(4) \quad [\, \psi_i(p_ik),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{l}(F_j) \,]\\
&\qquad = \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(q_i^{a_{ij}} \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{(l+1)}(F_j)\psi_i(p_ik-r) - q_i^{-a_{ij}}\psi_i(p_ik-r)\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{(l+1)}(F_j) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The following statements follow directly from Lemma 3.13 and 3.11. Note that $d_ia_{ij} = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} A_{i,\sigma^s(j)}$ for $i, j \in I$, which will be used later.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $i \neq j \in I$ such that $a_{ij}a_{ji} = 1$. For $k > 1$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1) \quad [\, a_i(p_ik),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j) \,] = \frac{[ka_{ij}]}{k} \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-(k+l)}(E_j)\\
&(2) \quad [\, a_i(p_ik),\, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{l}(F_j) \,] = -\frac{[ka_{ij}]}{k} \hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{(k+l)}(F_j),\\
&(3) \quad [\, a_i(k),\, a_j(-l) \,] = \delta_{k,l} \frac{[ka_{ij}]}{k} \frac{K_{k\delta} - K_{k\delta}^{-1}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.13. *Let $i \neq j \in I$ such that $a_{ij} = -r$ and $a_{ji} = -1$. Then for $k > r$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(1) \quad [\, a_i(p_ik),\, \hat{T}^{-l}_{\omega_j}(E_j)\,] = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{[kA_{i,\sigma^s(j)}]_i}{k} \hat{T}^{-(k+l)}_{\omega_j}(E_j), & \text{if} \quad p_j | k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad [\, a_i(p_ik),\, \hat{T}^{l}_{\omega_j}(F_j)\,] = \begin{cases} -\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{[kA_{i,\sigma^s(j)}]_i}{k} \hat{T}^{(k+l)}_{\omega_j}(F_j), & \text{if} \quad p_j | k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$(3) \quad [\, a_i(p_ik),\, a_j(-p_jl)\,] = \delta_{kp_i, lp_j} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{[kA_{i,\sigma^s(j)}]}{k} \frac{K_{kp_i\delta} - K^{-1}_{kp_i\delta}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}}.$$

3.6. Copies of $A_2^{(2)}$ in $A_{2n}^{(2)}$. For the case of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, the definition of the quantum root vectors differ from other twisted cases because of its slightly complicated root system. This situation has been discussed in detail in [**Da2**], here we will review some definitions and results. The case of $A_2^{(2)}$ has been dealt with carefully in [**A**].

In this paragraph we discuss the remaining cases and show that for $i = n$, there exists a copy of $U_q^{(i)} \simeq U_q(A_2^{(2)})$ in $A_{2n}^{(2)}$.

Let us introduce the longest element of the Weyl group W:

$$w = s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n,$$

then $w^{n-1}(\alpha_0) = \delta - 2\alpha_n$, where $\delta = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + \cdots + 2\alpha_n$.

In particular, define $E_{\delta-2\alpha_n} = T_w^{n-1}(E_0)$, and $F_{\delta-2\alpha_n} = \Phi(E_{\delta-2\alpha_n})$.

Proposition 3.14. *Let $U_q^{(n)}$ be the subalgebra generated by E_n, F_n, $K_n^{\pm 1}$, $E_{\delta-2\alpha_n}$, $F_{\delta-2\alpha_n}$, $K_{\delta-2\alpha_n}$. There exists an algebra isomorphism $\varphi_n : U_q(A_2^{(2)}) \to U_q^{(n)}$ defined as follows:*

$$\varphi_n(E_1) = E_n, \qquad \varphi_n(F_1) = F_n, \qquad \varphi_n(K_1^{\pm 1}) = F_n^{\pm 1},$$
$$\varphi_n(E_0) = E_{\delta-2\alpha_n}, \qquad \varphi_n(F_0) = F_{\delta-2\alpha_n}, \qquad \varphi_n(K_0^{\pm 1}) = K^{\pm 1}_{\delta-2\alpha_n}.$$

4. Drinfeld realization for twisted cases

4.1. Drinfeld generators. In order to obtain the Drinfeld realization of twisted quantum affine algebras, we introduce Drinfeld generators as follows.

Definition 4.1. For $k > 0$, define

$$x_i^+(k) = \begin{cases} \hat{T}^{-k}_{\omega_i}(E_i), & \text{if} \quad \sigma(i) \neq i \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(i) = i \quad \text{and} \quad r|k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$x_i^-(k) = \begin{cases} -o(i)^k \gamma^{-k} K_i E_{k\delta-\alpha_i}, & \text{if} \quad \sigma(i) \neq i \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(i) = i \quad \text{and} \quad r|k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Definition 4.2. For $k < 0$, define

$$x_i^+(k) = \begin{cases} -o(i)^k F_{-\alpha_i - k\delta} K_i^{-1}\gamma^k, & \text{if} \quad \sigma(i) \neq i \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(i) = i \quad \text{and} \quad r|k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$x_i^-(k) = \begin{cases} \hat{T}^k_{\omega_i}(F_i), & \text{if} \quad \sigma(i) \neq i \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(i) = i \quad \text{and} \quad r|k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.3. From the above definitions it follows that $a_i(k) = 0$ if $\sigma(i) = i$ and k is not divisible by r.

For convenience we extend the indices from I to $\{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$. For $i \in \{n+1, n+2, \cdots, N\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$, we define that

$$x_i^{\pm}(k) = \omega^{-k} x^{\pm}_{\sigma(i)}(k), \quad a_i(l) = \omega^{-l} a_{\sigma(i)}(l), \quad K_i = K_{\sigma(i)}.$$

4.2. Drinfeld realization for twisted cases. In previous sections we have prepared for the relations among Drinfeld generators. The complete relations are given in the following theorem stated first in [**Dr**]. In the following we will out to prove the remaining Serre relations using braid groups and other techniques developed in [**ZJ, JZ**].

Theorem 4.4. *The twisted quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ is generated by the elements $x_i^{\pm}(k), a_i(l)$, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$, satisying the following relations:*

$$(1) \qquad x_i^{\pm}(k) = \omega^{-k} x^{\pm}_{\sigma(i)}(k), \quad a_i(l) = \omega^{-l} a_{\sigma(i)}(l), \quad K_i = K_{\sigma(i)},$$

$$(2) \qquad [\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}},\ u] = 0 \quad \textit{for all } u \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma),$$

$$(3) \qquad [a_i(k), a_j(l)] = \delta_{k+l,\ 0} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{[kA_{i,\sigma^s(j)}]_i}{k} \omega^{ks} \frac{\gamma^k - \gamma^{-k}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}},$$

$$(4) \qquad [a_i(k), K_j^{\pm}] = 0,$$

$$(5) \qquad K_i x_j^{\pm}(k) K_i^{-1} = q^{\pm \sum\limits_{s=0}^{r-1} A_{i,\sigma^s(j)}} x_j^{\pm}(k),$$

$$(6) \qquad [a_i(k),\ x_j^{\pm}(l)] = \pm \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{[kA_{i,\sigma^s(j)}]_i}{k} \omega^{ks} \gamma^{\mp\frac{|k|}{2}} x_j^{\pm}(k+l),$$

$$(7) \qquad [x_i^+(k), x_j^-(l)] = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{\delta_{\sigma^s(i),j}\,\omega^{ls}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} (\gamma^{\frac{k-l}{2}} \psi_i(k+l) - \gamma^{\frac{l-k}{2}} \varphi_i(k+l)).$$

where $\psi_i(m)$ and $\varphi_i(m)$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ are defined by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_i(m) z^{-m} = K_i exp((q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_i(k) z^{-k}),$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i(-m) z^{m} = K_i^{-1} exp(-(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_i(-k) z^{k}).$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{r-1}(z-\omega^s q^{\pm A_{i,\sigma^s(j)}}w)x_i^{\pm}(z)x_j^{\pm}(w)=\prod_{s=0}^{r-1}(zq^{\pm A_{i,\sigma^s(j)}}-\omega^s w)x_j^{\pm}(w)x_i^{\pm}(z). \tag{8}$$

$$Sym_{z_1,z_2}P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1,z_2)\sum_{s=0}^{2}(-1)^s x_i^{\pm}(z_1)\cdots x_i^{\pm}(z_s)x_j^{\pm}(w)x_i^{\pm}(z_{s+1})\cdots x_j^{\pm}(z_2)=0, \tag{9}$$

$$\text{for } A_{ij}=-1,\ \sigma(i)\neq j,$$

$$Sym_{z_1,z_2,z_3}[(q^{\mp\frac{3r}{4}}z_1-(q^{\frac{r}{4}}-q^{-\frac{r}{4}})z_2+q^{\pm\frac{3r}{4}}z_3)x_i^{\pm}(z_1)x_i^{\pm}(z_2)x_i^{\pm}(z_3)]=0. \tag{10}$$

$$\text{for } A_{i,\sigma(i)}=-1.$$

where Sym means the symmetrization over z_i, $x_i^{\pm}(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i^{\pm}(k)z^{-k}$, $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z,w)$ *and* d_{ij} *are defined as follows:*

If $\sigma(i)=i$, *then* $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z,w)=1$, $d_{ij}=r/2$,

If $A_{i,\sigma(i)}=0$, $\sigma(j)=j$, *then* $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z,w)=\dfrac{z^r q^{\pm 2r}-w^r}{zq^{\pm 2}-w}$, $d_{ij}=r$,

If $A_{i,\sigma(i)}=0$, $\sigma(j)\neq j$, *then* $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z,w)=1$, $d_{ij}=1/2$,

If $A_{i,\sigma(i)}=-1$, *then* $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z,w)=zq^{\pm r/2}+w$, $d_{ij}=r/2$.

4.3. The Proof of the main theorem. We need to verify that the above Drinfeld generators $x_i^{\pm}(k), a_i(l)$, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ satisfy all relations $(1)-(10)$. The relations $(1)-(7)$ are already checked in the previous paragraphs. We are going to show the last three relations.

We first proceed to check relation (8).

Proposition 4.5. *For all* $i,j\in I$ *one has that*

$$\prod_{s=0}^{r-1}(z-\omega^s q^{\pm A_{i,\sigma^s(j)}}w)x_i^{\pm}(z)x_j^{\pm}(w)=\prod_{s=0}^{r-1}(zq^{\pm A_{i,\sigma^s(j)}}-\omega^s w)x_j^{\pm}(w)x_i^{\pm}(z),$$

where $x_i^{\pm}(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}x_i^{\pm}(k)z^{-k}$.

The relation holds if $A_{ij}=0$, so we only consider the case of $A_{ij}\neq 0$. The proof is divided into several cases.

Case (a): $i=j$**.** The required relations are generating functions of the following component relations:

$$x_i^{\pm}(k+p_i)x_i^{\pm}(l)-q_i^{\pm 2}x_i^{\pm}(l)x_i^{\pm}(k+p_i)=q_i^{\pm 2}x_i^{\pm}(k)x_i^{\pm}(l+p_i)-x_i^{\pm}(l+p_i)x_i^{\pm}(k).$$

On the other hand the following relations hold in relation in $U_q^{(i)}$ similar as in the untwisted cases.

$$T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i)E_i-q_i^2E_iT_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i)=q_i^2T_{\omega_i}^{-k+1}(E_i)T_{\omega_i}(E_i)-T_{\omega_i}(E_i)T_{\omega_i}^{-k+1}(E_i).$$

Hence the required relation follows by recalling the definition of $x_i^{+}(k)$.

Case (b): $i\neq j$ **such that** $A_{ij}\neq 0$**.**

First for i,j we define

$$E_{ij}=-E_iE_j+q_i^{A_{ij}}E_jE_i.$$

Lemma 4.6. *For $i \neq j \in I$ such that $A_{ij} \leqslant 0$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & -T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i)T_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j) + q_i^{A_{ij}} T_{\omega_j}^{-l}(E_j)T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i) \\ = \; & q_i^{A_{ij}} T_{\omega_i}^{-(k-p_j)}(E_i)T_{\omega_j}^{-(l+p_i)}(E_j) - T_{\omega_j}^{-(l+p_i)}(E_j)T_{\omega_i}^{-(k-p_j)}(E_i). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying $T_{\omega_i}^{-k}$ and $T_{\omega_j}^{-l}$ to E_{ij} and invoking Lemma 3.7, we can pull out the action of T_{ω_j} to arrive at

$$-T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i)E_j + q_i^{A_{ij}} E_j T_{\omega_i}^{-k}(E_i) = q_i^{A_{ij}} T_{\omega_i}^{-(k-p_j)}(E_i)T_{\omega_j}^{-p_i}(E_j) - T_{\omega_j}^{-p_i}(E_j)T_{\omega_i}^{-(k-p_j)}(E_i).$$

which was essentially proved by Beck [**B**] since $d_j p_i = d_i p_j$. □

The following well-known fact will be used to prove the remaining relations.

Lemma 4.7. *If $A \in U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)^+$ and $[\, A, \, F_k\,] = 0 \, \forall k \in \hat{I}$, then $A = 0$.*

We concentrate mainly on the relation (9) and divide it into four cases. The Serre relation in the case of $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = 1$ can be derived from that of non twisted case. Moreover, the proof will explain why the Serre relation with the lower power works by the action of diagram automorphism σ in the twisted case.

Proposition 4.8. *For $A_{ij} = -1$ and $\sigma(i) \neq j$, we have:*

$$Sym_{z_1,z_2} P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) \sum_{s=0}^{2} (-1)^s x_i^{\pm}(z_1) \cdots x_i^{\pm}(z_s) x_j^{\pm}(w) x_i^{\pm}(z_{s+1}) \cdots x_j^{\pm}(z_2) = 0$$

Proof. This is proved case by case.

Case (i): $A_{ij} = -1$ **and** $\sigma(i) = i$**.**

In this case $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = 1$ and $d_{ij} = r$, it is also clear that $d_i = r$, then the relation is exactly like the Serre relation in the non-twisted case. For completeness we provide a proof for this Serre relation. i.e. we will show that for any integers k_1, k_2, l

$$Sym_{k_1,k_2}\Big(x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k_1)x_i^+(k_2) - [2]_i x_i^+(k_1)x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k_2) + x_i^+(k_1)x_i^+(k_2)x_j^+(l)\Big) = 0.$$

Note that $x_j^+(l) = T_{\omega_j}^{-l}E_j$. Lemma 3.7 says that one can pull out any factor of T_{ω_j} or common factors of T_{ω_i} from the left-hand side (LHS). This means that for any natural number t the following relation is equivalent to the Serre relation.

$$\Big(E_j E_i \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t}(E_i) - [2]_i E_i E_j \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t}(E_i) + E_i \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t}(E_i) E_j\Big) + \Big(E_i \leftrightarrow \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t}(E_i)\Big) = 0.$$

We prove this last relation by induction on t. First note that when $t = 0$, the relation is essentially the relation $(R5)$. We assume that the above relation holds for $\leq t-1$. The remark above further says once we have made the inductive assumption then all Serre relations with $|k_1 - k_2| \leq t-1$ and arbitrary l are also assumed to be true. Using relation (6) in Theorem 4.4 yields

$$\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) = \frac{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]_i}[\, a_i(1), \, E_i\,]. \qquad (*)$$

Plugging this into LHS of the Serre relation we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]_i}\Big(E_jE_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}\big([\,a_i(1),\,E_i\,]\big)-[2]_iE_iE_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}\big([\,a_i(1),\,E_i\,]\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad +E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}\big([\,a_i(1),\,E_i\,]\big)E_j\Big)+\Big(E_i\leftrightarrow\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)\Big)\\
=\;&\frac{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]_i}\Big(E_jE_i[\,a_i(1),\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)\,]-[2]_iE_iE_j[\,a_i(1),\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)\,]\\
&\qquad\qquad +E_i[\,a_i(1),\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)\,]E_j\Big)+\Big(E_i\leftrightarrow\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we repeatedly use $(*)$ to move $a_i(1)$ to the extreme left to get an expression of the form

$$a_i(1)\Big(E_jE_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)-[2]_iE_iE_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)+E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-t+1}(E_i)E_j\Big)+\cdots$$

where $\cdots$ only involves with LHS of Serre relations with $t-2$. So the whole expression is zero by the inductive assumption. Thus we have finished the proof of the Serre relation (9) in this case.

Case (ii): $A_{ij}=-1$ **and** $A_{i,\sigma(i)}=0, \sigma(j)=j$**.**

For $r=2$, without loss generality we take $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ for an example, the other cases are treated similarly. In this case we only need to consider the situation when $i=n-1$ and $j=n$, then $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1,z_2)=z_1q^{\pm 2}+z_2$ and $d_{ij}=2$. So we need to prove the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^2\Big(x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k+1)x_i^+(k)-[2]_{q^2}x_i^+(k+1)x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k)+x_i^+(k+1)x_i^+1(k)x_j^+(l)\Big)\\
&+\Big(x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k)x_i^+(k+1)-[2]_{q^2}x_i^+(k)x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k+1)+x_i^+(k)x_i^+(k+1)x_j^+(l)\Big)\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $x_i^+(k)$ and collecting the action of $\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-k}\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}$, we are left to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
X=\;&q^2\Big(E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i-[2]_{q^2}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_jE_i+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_iE_j\Big)\\
&+\Big(E_jE_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)-[2]_{q^2}E_iE_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)+E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j\Big)=0.
\end{aligned}$$

To see this we use Lemma 4.7 and compute all the commutators $[X,F_k]=0$ for $k\in\hat{I}$. First we consider the case of $k=0$. Note that $[E_i,\,F_0]=0$ whenever $i\neq 0$. On the other hand, one checks directly that

$$[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\,F_0\,]=\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}([\,E_i,\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}(F_0)\,])=\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}([\,E_i,\,F_0\,])=0.$$

Consequently it implies that $[\,X,\,F_0\,]=0$.

Since X is expressed by E_i and E_j, $[X, F_k] = 0$ for any $k \neq i, j$. Using Drinfeld relation (7), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\, X,\, F_j\,] \\
= \;& q^2\Big(\underbrace{[\, E_j,\, F_j\,]}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i - [2]_{q^2}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\underbrace{[\, E_j,\, F_j\,]}E_i + \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i\underbrace{[\, E_j,\, F_j\,]}\Big) \\
& +\Big(\underbrace{[\, E_j, F_j\,]}E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) - [2]_{q^2}E_i\underbrace{[\, E_j,\, F_j\,]}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) + E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\underbrace{[\, E_j,\, F_j\,]}\Big) \\
= \;& \frac{q^2}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^2}q^{-2}+q^{-4}\big)}K_i + \underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^2}q^{2}+q^{4}\big)}K_i^{-1}\Big)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i \\
& +\frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^2}q^{-2}+q^{-4}\big)}K_i + \underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^2}q^{2}+q^{4}\big)}K_i^{-1}\Big)E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) \\
= \;& 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where Drinfeld relation (5) has been used.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\, X,\, F_i\,] \\
= \;& q^2\Big(E_j\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i\,]}E_i - [2]_{q^2}\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i\,]}E_jE_i + \underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i\,]}E_iE_j \\
& +E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\underbrace{[\, E_i,\, F_i\,]} - [2]_{q^2}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j\underbrace{[\, E_i,\, F_i\,]} + \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\underbrace{[\, E_i,\, F_i\,]}E_j\Big) \\
& +\Big(E_j\underbrace{[\, E_i, F_i\,]}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) - [2]_{q^2}\underbrace{[\, E_i,\, F_i\,]}E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) + \underbrace{[\, E_i,\, F_i\,]}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j \\
& +E_jE_i\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i), F_i\,]} - [2]_{q^2}E_iE_j\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i\,]} + E_i\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i\,]}E_j\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Drinfeld relation (7), (5) and (6) for the last step. Collecting common terms, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\, X,\, F_i\,] \\
= \;& \Big(\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{\big(q^4 - q^2[2]_{q^2} + 1\big)}E_jE_ia_i(1)K_i + \big(q^4 - q^2[2]_{q^2}\big)[2]E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)K_i\Big) \\
& +\Big(\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{\big(q^2 - [2]_{q^2} + q^{-2}\big)}E_iE_ja_i(1)K_i + q^2[2]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_jK_i\Big) \\
& +\frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(q^2 - [2]_{q^2} + q^{-2}\big)}E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}K_i^{-1} + \big(2q^2 - [2]_{q^2}\big)E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}K_i\Big) \\
& +\frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(1 - [2]_{q^2}q^2 + q^4\big)}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}E_jK_i^{-1} + \big(2 - [2]_{q^2}q^2\big)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}E_jK_i\Big) \\
= \;& \big([2]-[2]\big)E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)K_i + \big(q^2[2] - q^2[2]\big)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_jK_i \\
= \;& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For $r = 3$, the exceptional type $D_4^{(3)}$ should also be checked. In this case we know that $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = z_1^2q^{\pm 4} + z_1z_2q^{\pm 2} + z_2^2$ and $d_{ij} = 3$. More specifically we have in this case $i = 2$, $j = 1$ and $d_i = 2, d_j = 1$, and the relation is reduced to the following equivalent one:

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^4\Big(x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k+2)x_i^+(k)-[2]_{q^3}x_i^+(k+2)x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k)+x_i^+(k+2)x_i^+1(k)x_j^+(l)\Big)\\
&+q^2\Big(x_j^+(l)(x_i^+(k+1))^2-[2]_{q^3}x_i^+(k+1)x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k+1)+(x_i^+(k+1))^2x_j^+(l)\Big)\\
&+\Big(x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k)x_i^+(k+2)-[2]_{q^3}x_i^+(k)x_j^+(l)x_i^+(k+2)+x_i^+(k)x_i^+(k+2)x_j^+(l)\Big)\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

By definition of $x_i^+(k)$ and collecting the action of $\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-k}\hat{T}_{\omega_j}^{-l}$, we can rewrite the LHS of the above relation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y=\;&q^4\Big(E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_i-[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_jE_i+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_iE_j\Big)\\
&+q^2\Big(E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i^2)-[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i^2)E_j\Big)\\
&+\Big(E_jE_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)-[2]_{q^2}E_iE_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)+E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_j\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Let's use Lemma 4.7 to show that $Y=0$ by checking that $[\,Y,F_k\,]=0$ for all $k\in\hat{I}$. When $k=0$ is clear as Y is only expressed in terms of E_i and E_j and $i,j\in I$. This also implies that $[Y,F_k]=0$ for $k\neq i$ or j.

For $k=j$, we use Drinfeld relation (4) and the commutation relation $[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]=\frac{K_j-K_j^{-1}}{q_j-q_j^{-1}}$ to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\,Y,\,F_j\,]\\
=\;&q^4\Big([\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_i-[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]E_i+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_i[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\Big)\\
&+q^2\Big([\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i^2)-[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i^2)[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\Big)\\
&+\Big([\,E_j,\,F_j\,]E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)-[2]_{q^2}E_i[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)+E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)[\,E_j,\,F_j\,]\Big)\\
=\;&\frac{q^4}{q_j-q_j^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^3}q^3+q^6\big)}_{}K_j+\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^3}q^{-3}+q^{-6}\big)}_{}K_j^{-1}\Big)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_i\\
&+\frac{q^2}{q_j-q_j^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^3}q^3+q^6\big)}_{}K_i+\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^3}q^{-3}+q^{-6}\big)}_{}K_j^{-1}\Big)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i^2)\\
&+\frac{1}{q_j-q_j^{-1}}\Big(\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^3}q^3+q^6\big)}_{}K_i+\underbrace{\big(1-[2]_{q^3}q^{-3}+q^{-6}\big)}_{}K_j^{-1}\Big)E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)\\
=\;&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\,Y,\,F_i\,] \\
= \;& q^4\Big(E_j\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i),\,F_i\,]}\,E_i - [2]_{q^3}\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i),\,F_i\,]}\,E_jE_i + \underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i),\,F_i\,]}\,E_iE_j\Big) \\
& +q^4\Big(E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)\,\underbrace{[\,E_i,\,F_i\,]}-[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_j\,\underbrace{[\,E_i,\,F_i\,]}+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)\,\underbrace{[\,E_i,\,F_i\,]}\,E_j\Big) \\
& +q^2\Big(E_j\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),F_i\,]}\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) - [2]_{q^3}\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),F_i\,]}\,E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + \underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),F_i\,]}\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j\Big) \\
& +q^2\Big(E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),F_i\,]}-[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),F_i\,]} \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),F_i\,]}\,E_j\Big) \\
& +\Big(E_j\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i),\,F_i\,]}\,E_i - [2]_{q^2}E_iE_j\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i),\,F_i\,]}+E_i\,\underbrace{[\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i),\,F_i\,]}\,E_j\Big) \\
& +\Big(E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)\,\underbrace{[\,E_i,\,F_i\,]}-[2]_{q^2}\,\underbrace{[\,E_i,\,F_i\,]}\,E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i) + \underbrace{[\,E_i,\,F_i\,]}\,\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_j\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

To show the above is actually zero, we collect similar terms into five summands.

The first term

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \;& \gamma\Big(q^6E_j\big(a_i(2)+\frac{q_i-q_i^{-1}}{2}(a_i(1))^2\big)E_i - q^3[2]_{q^3}\big(a_i(2)+\frac{q_i-q_i^{-1}}{2}(a_i(1))^2\big)E_jE_i \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad +E_jE_i\big(a_i(2)+\frac{q_i-q_i^{-1}}{2}(a_i(1))^2\big)\Big)K_i \\
= \;& \Big(-\frac{[4]}{2}E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i) - \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{[2]}{2}(q-q^{-1})E_j\big(a_i(1)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)a_i(1)\big)\Big)K_i
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \;& \gamma\Big(q^3\big(a_i(2)+\frac{q_i-q_i^{-1}}{2}(a_i(1))^2\big)E_iE_j - [2]_{q^3}E_iE_j\big(a_i(2)+\frac{q_i-q_i^{-1}}{2}(a_i(1))^2\big) \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad +q^{-3}E_i\big(a_i(2)+\frac{q_i-q_i^{-1}}{2}(a_i(1))^2\big)E_j\Big)K_i \\
= \;& \Big(q^3\frac{[4]}{2}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_j + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{[2]}{2}q^3(q-q^{-1})\big(a_i(1)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)+\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)a_i(1)\big)E_j\Big)K_i
\end{aligned}
$$

The third term

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \;& \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(q^4E_ja_i(1)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) + q^2E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)a_i(1) - q[2]_{q^3}a_i(1)E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) \\
& \qquad -q^2[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_ja_i(1) + qa_i(1)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j + q\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)a_i(1)E_j\Big)K_i \\
= \;& \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(-q^2E_ja_i(1)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) - q^5\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)a_i(1)E_j \\
& \qquad\qquad +q^2E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)a_i(1) + qa_i(1)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_j\Big)K_i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{The forth term} \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}\Big(q^4 E_j \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)(K_i - K_i^{-1}) + E_j(K_i - K_i^{-1})\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i) \\ & \qquad\qquad -[2]_{q^3}(K_i - K_i^{-1})E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)\Big) \\ = \quad & [4]E_j\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)K_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{The fifth term} \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}\Big(- q^4[2]_{q^3}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_j(K_i - K_i^{-1}) + q^4\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)(K_i - K_i^{-1})E_j \\ & \qquad\qquad +(K_i - K_i^{-1})\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_j\Big) \\ = \quad & -q^3[4]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-2}(E_i)E_jK_i \end{aligned}$$

Their total sum is zero, thus we have shown that $[Y, F_k] = 0$ and subsequently the Serre relations hold in this case.

Case (iii): $A_{ij} = -1$ **and** $A_{i,\sigma(i)} = 0, \sigma(j) \neq j$**.** The required relation follows from that of the untwisted case verified in Case (i).

Case (iv): $A_{ij} = -1$ **and** $A_{i,\sigma(i)} = -1$**.** This only happens for type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$. Here $P_{ij}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = z_1q^{\pm1} + z_2$ and $d_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}$, which is exactly the same as that of Case (ii) in type $A_{2n-1}^{(1)}$. Thus the Serre relation is proved by repeating the argument of Case (ii).

By now we have proved all cases of the Serre relation (9). □

The last Serre relation (10) only exists for type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$.

Proposition 4.9. *For* $A_{i,\sigma(i)} = 0$*,*

$$Sym_{z_1,z_2,z_3}[(q^{\mp\frac{3r}{4}}z_1 - (q^{\frac{r}{4}} - q^{-\frac{r}{4}})z_2 + q^{\pm\frac{3r}{4}}z_3)x_i^{\pm}(z_1)x_i^{\pm}(z_2)x_i^{\pm}(z_3)] = 0.$$

Proof. By the same translation property of the Drinfeld generators, this relation can be replaced by

$$q^{-\frac{3}{2}}x_i^+(1)(x_i^+(0))^2 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})x_i^+(0)x_i^+(1)x_i^+(0) + q^{\frac{3}{2}}(x_i^+(0))^2x_i^+(1) = 0$$

By definition of $x_i^+(k)$, the above relation is rewritten as:

$$Z := q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i^2 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i + q^{\frac{3}{2}}E_i^2\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) = 0.$$

Using the same trick of Lemma 4.7, we must show that $[\,Z,\, F_k\,] = 0$ for all $k \in \hat{I}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\, Z,\, F_i \,] \\
= \ & \Big(q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)[\, E_i,\, F_i \,]E_i - [2]_i[\, E_i,\, F_i \,]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i + q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_i[\, E_i,\, F_i \,]\Big) \\
& +\Big(q^{\frac{3}{2}}E_i[\, E_i,\, F_i \,]\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i) - [2]_iE_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)[\, E_i,\, F_i \,] + q^{\frac{3}{2}}[\, E_i,\, F_i \,]E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)\Big) \\
& +\Big(q^{-\frac{3}{2}}[\, \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i \,]E_i^2 - [2]_iE_i[\, \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i \,]E_i + q^{\frac{3}{2}}E_i^2[\, \hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i),\, F_i \,]\Big) \\
= \ & \Big(\frac{[2]_i}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}(q^{-1} - q^2) + q^{\frac{1}{2}}[2]_i(q + q^{-1} + 1)\Big)\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)E_iK_i \\
& +\Big(\frac{[2]_i}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}(q^3 - 1) - q^{\frac{3}{2}}[2]_i(q + q^{-1} + 1)\Big)E_i\hat{T}_{\omega_i}^{-1}(E_i)K_i \\
= \ & 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

With this last Serre relation we have completed the verification of all Drinfeld relations. □

5. Isomorphism between the two structures

5.1. The inverse homomorphism. To complete the proof of Drinfeld realization we need to establish an isomorphism between the Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra and the Drinfeld new realization. There have been several attempts to show the isomorphism in the literature, and all previous proofs only established a homomorphism from one form of the algebra into the other one. In this section we will combine our previous approach [**J2, ZJ, JZ**] together with Beck and Damiani's work to finally settle this long-standing problem and prove the isomorphism between the two forms of the quantum affine algebras in both untwisted and twisted cases.

In order to show there exists an isomorphism between the above two structures, we recall the inverse map of Ψ from Drinfeld realization to twisted quantum affine algebra developed in [**ZJ**], where we denoted by $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ the Drinfeld realization, which is the associative algebra over the complex field generated by the elements $x_i^{\pm}(k), a_i(l),\, K_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $i \in \{1,\, 2,\, \cdots,\, N\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$, satisfying the relations $(1) - (10)$.

First of all, we review the notation of quantum Lie brackets from [**J2**].

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and for $q_i \in \mathbb{K}^* = \mathbb{K}\backslash\{0\}$ and $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s-1$, The quantum Lie brackets

$$[\, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \,]_{(q_1,\, q_2,\, \cdots,\, q_{s-1})}$$

and

$$[\, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \,]_{\langle q_1,\, q_2,\, \cdots,\, q_{s-1}\rangle}$$

are defined inductively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\, a_1, a_2 \,]_{q_1} &= a_1a_2 - q_1\, a_2a_1, \\
[\, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \,]_{(q_1,\, q_2,\, \cdots,\, q_{s-1})} &= [\, a_1, [\, a_2, \cdots, a_s \,]_{(q_2,\, \cdots,\, q_{s-1})} \,]_{q_1}, \\
[\, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_s \,]_{\langle q_1,\, q_2,\, \cdots,\, q_{s-1}\rangle} &= [\, [\, a_1, \cdots, a_{s-1} \,]_{\langle q_1,\, \cdots,\, q_{s-2}\rangle}, a_s \,]_{q_{s-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

To state the inverse homomorphism, we first give the following well-known result.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $i \in I$, there exists a sequence of indices $i = i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{h-1}$ such that $\theta = \alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_{h-1}}$, and $(\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_{k+1}}) = \epsilon_k, (1 \leqslant k \leqslant h-2)$, where θ is the maximal root of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and h is the Coxeter number of the the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$.*

REMARK 5.3. The above lemma implies that there exist $s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \cdots, s_{i_{h-1}}$ in the Weyl group W_0 of the the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ such that $s_{i_k} \cdots s_{i_2}(\alpha_{i_1}), (1 \leqslant k \leqslant h-2)$ are in the root lattice Q_0 of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. In particular, $\theta = s_{i_{h-1}} \cdots s_{i_2}(\alpha_{i_1})$.

The following result was first stated by Drinfeld [**Dr**]. This particular form was given in [**J2, JZ**].

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $i_1, \cdots, i_{h-1}$ be a sequence of indices in Lemma 5.2, then there is an algebra homomorphism $\phi : U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ defined by*

$$\phi(E_i) = x_i^+(0), \qquad \phi(F_i) = \tfrac{1}{p_i} x_i^-(0), \qquad \phi(K_i) = K_i^+(0),$$
$$\phi(E_0) = a x_\theta^-(1) \gamma K_\theta^{-1}, \qquad \phi(F_0) = \gamma^{-1} K_\theta x_\theta^+(-1), \qquad \phi(K_0) = \gamma K_\theta^{-1},$$

where $K_\theta = K_{i_1} K_{i_2} \cdots K_{i_{h-1}}$ and $a = (p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_{h-1}})^{-1}$, and $x_\theta^-(1)$, $x_\theta^+(-1)$ are defined by quantum Lie bracket as below:

$$x_\theta^-(1) = [\, x_{i_{h-1}}^-(0), x_{i_{h-1}}^-(0), \cdots, x_{i_2}^-(0), x_{i_1}^-(1) \,]_{(q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}, q_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2}, \cdots, q_{i_{h-2}}^{\epsilon_{h-2}})}$$
$$x_\theta^+(-1) = [\, x_{i_1}^+(-1), x_{i_2}^+(0), \cdots, x_{i_{h-2}}^+(0), x_{i_{h-1}}^+(0) \,]_{\langle q_{i_1}^{-\epsilon_1}, q_{i_2}^{-\epsilon_2}, \cdots, q_{i_{h-2}}^{-\epsilon_{h-2}} \rangle}.$$

REMARK 5.5. In [**ZJ**] and [**JZ**], we have checked that ϕ is an algebra homomorphism using quantum Lie brackets. In the sequel we show that the map is in fact the inverse of the action of the braid group.

5.2. Isomorphism between two presentations. Theorem 4.4 induces that the homomorphism ψ from Drinfeld realization $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ to Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ is surjective. We now show its injectivity by checking that the products of two maps are identity. We start with the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *With homomorphisms ϕ and ψ defined as above, one has $\psi\phi(E_0) = E_0$.*

PROOF. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi\phi(E_0) \\ = \ & \psi(a x_\theta^-(1) \gamma K_\theta^{-1}) \\ = \ & [\, F_{i_{h-1}}, F_{i_{h-2}}, \cdots, F_{i_2}, \hat{T}_{\omega_i}(F_{i_1}) \,]_{(q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}, q_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2}, \cdots, q_{i_{h-2}}^{\epsilon_{h-2}})} K_{\delta-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $a_{ij} = -1$ (see [**M**]),

$$T_i(\hat{T}_{\omega_i}(F_j)) = -\hat{T}_{\omega_j}(F_j) F_i + q_i F_i \hat{T}_{\omega_j}(F_j) = q_i [F_i, \hat{T}_{\omega_j}(F_j)]_{q_i^{-1}},$$

So the above bracket can be written as $T_{i_{h-1}} T_{i_{h-2}} \cdots T_{i_2}(\hat{T}_{\omega_i}(F_{i_1})) K_{\delta-\theta}$, when i_1 is not equal to i_k for all $k = 2, \cdots, h-1$.

Thus we need to consider the case $a_{i_1\, i_2} = -2$.

$$\begin{aligned} & [F_{i_1}, [F_{i_2}, \hat{T}_{\omega_{i_1}}(F_{i_1})]_{q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}}]_{q_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2}} = [F_{i_1}, \hat{T}_{\omega_{i_1}}([F_{i_2}, F_{i_1}]_{q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}})]_{q_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2}} \\ = \ & [F_{i_1}, \hat{T}_{\omega_{i_2}}([F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}]_{q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}})]_{q_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2}} = \hat{T}_{\omega_{i_2}}([F_{i_1}, [F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}]_{q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}}]_{q_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2}}) \\ = \ & \frac{[2]_{i_1}}{q_{i_1}^{-2\epsilon_1}} T_{i_1} \hat{T}_{\omega_{i_2}}(F_{i_2}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the $\hat{T}_{\omega_{i_1}}([F_{i_2}, F_{i_1}]_{q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}}) = \hat{T}_{\omega_{i_2}}([F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}]_{q_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}})$ (see [**B**]).

Therefore the above q-bracket also can be written as

$$\psi\phi(E_0) = A T_{i'_k} T_{i'_{k-1}} \cdots T_{i'_2}(\hat{T}_{\omega_{i'_1}}(F_{i'_1})) K_{\delta-\theta}$$

where $i'_1, \cdots, i'_k$ are in the set $\{i_1, \cdots i_{h-1}\}$ such that every two elements are different, and A is a polynomial of q.

In fact, we have

$$s_{i_{h-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} \omega_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_1}) = \delta - \alpha_{i_1} - \cdots - \alpha_{i_{h-1}} = \delta - \theta.$$

Recall that we have defined $E_{\delta-\theta}$ as the quantum root vector

$$T_{i'_k} T_{i'_{k-1}} \cdots T_{i'_2}(\hat{T}_{\omega_{i'_1}}(F_{i'_1})) K_{\delta-\theta}$$

independently from the sequence (by Remark 3.1). Thus $\psi\phi(E_0) = AE_0$ for $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$, where A is a polynomial of q. Then we can adjust the map ϕ such that the action of $\psi\phi$ on E_0 is identity. □

Similarly we can show that $\psi\phi(F_0) = F_0$. Note that by definition $\psi\phi$ and $\psi\phi$ fix all E_i and F_i for $i \neq 0$, and also the homomorphisms ϕ and ψ are surjective by construction, therefore $\psi\phi = \phi\psi = I$. So we have shown that

Corollary 5.7. The homomorphisms $\phi : U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma) \to U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma)$ are two algebra isomorphisms. In particular $\phi = \psi^{-1}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

N. Jing would like to thank the partial support of Simons Foundation grant 198129, NSFC grant 11271138 and NSF grants 1014554 and 1137837. H. Zhang would like to thank the support of NSFC grant 11101258 and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project J50101.

References

[A] Akasaka, T., *An integral PBW basis of the quantum affine algebra of type* $A_2^{(2)}$, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **38** (2002), 803–894.

[B] Beck, J., *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 555–568.

[BCP] Beck, J., Chari, V., Pressley, A., *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J., **99(3)** (1999), 455–487.

[BN] Beck, J., Nakajima, H., *Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J., **123(2)** (2004), 335–402.

[C] Chari, V., Pressley, A., *Twisted quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **196** (1998), 461–476.

[Da1] Damiani, I., *A basis of type Poincar-Birkhoff-Witt for the quantum algebra of sl_2*, J. Algebra, **161** (1993), 291-310.

[Da2] Damiani, I., *The R-matrix for (twisted) affine quantum algebras*, Representations and quantizations, China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, (2000), 89–144.

[Da3] Damiani, I., *Drinfeld realization of affine quantum algebras: the relations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **48**, (2012), 661–733.

[Dr] Drinfel'd, V., *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.

[FJ] Frenkel, I., Jing, N., *Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Proc. Nat'l. USA. **85** (1998), 9373–9377.

[FR] Frenkel, I., Reshetikhin, N., *Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **146** (1992), 1–60.

[J1] Jing, N., *Twisted vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 663–690.

[J2] Jing, N., *On Drinfel'd realization of quantum affine algebras*, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ. de Gruyter, Berlin, **7** (1998), 195–206.

[JZ] Jing, N., Zhang, H., *Addendum to "Drinfeld realization of twisted quantum affine algebras",* Comm. Alg., **38** (2010), 3484-3488.

[K] Kac, V., *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras,* 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press, (1990).

[LSS] Levendorskii, S., Soibelman, Y., Stukopin, V., *The quantum Weyl group and the universal quantum R-matrix for affine lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$*, Lett. Math. Phys. **27(4)** (1993), 253–264.

[L1] Lusztig, G., *Introduce to quantum groups,* Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[L2] Lusztig, G., *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version,* J. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1989), 599-625.

[L3] Lusztig, G., *Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized enveloping algebras,* J. Amer. Math. Soc., **3** (1990), 257-296.

[M] K. Mei, *Toroidal braid group action and an automorphism of toroidal algebra $U_q(sl_{n+1,tor})$ ($n \geq 2$)*, Lett. Math. Phys. **47** (1999), 365-378.

[ZJ] Zhang, H., Jing, N., *Drinfeld realizations of twisted quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Alg., **35** (2007), 3683-3698.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA
E-mail address: `jing@math.ncsu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200436, CHINA
E-mail address: `hlzhangmath@shu.edu.cn`